Dianne Smith

From:

Heather Predham

Sent:

Thursday, May 15, 2008 1:47 PM

To:

Oscar Howell; Pat Pilgrim; Sharon Dominic

Cc:

Dianne Smith: Pam Elliott

Subject:

St. Pierre Results

Attachments: St. Pierre retesting results.pdf

HI,

Please find attached a list of St. Pierre residents and their status regarding ER/PR retesting.

Sharon, you can also refer Dr. Bondonneau to the February 8, 2006 correspondence you translated for us that went to Dr. Pascal Malluret

There are more patients on Dr. Bondonneau's list than we had in our database. I went through each one's pathology and outlined why they were not included. Of course, if he needs more information, don't hesitate to contact me. Also, if it's not clear, call me

Thanks

Heather

Heather Predham Risk Management Consultant/Assistant Director Quality and Risk Management, Eastern Health 100 Forest Road, St. John's, A1A 1E5

Telephone: (709) 777-6126

Fax: (709) 777-8033

Patients identified in Communication from Dr. Michel Bondonneau

Dated May 9, 2008		
Name MCP#	Comment	
	This lady was retested. Her original results were ER	
	neg PR neg. Upon retesting, her ER was 80 and PR	
	30.	
	This case was paneled and the letter is attached.	
She was diagnosed in with DC		
and as a result, no ER/PR testing was conduc		
She was diagnosed in		
	status at the time was ER 90, PR 90.	
	She did not meet the criteria of retesting and	
therefore is not involved in this process		
	She was diagnosed in	
	hormonal status at the time was ER Positive, PR	
	Negative.	
	She did not meet the criteria of retesting and	
	therefore is not involved in this process	
	She was diagnosed in Her	
	hormonal status at the time was ER 90%, PR 90%,	
	She did not meet the criteria of retesting and	
therefore is not involved in this process		
This lady was retested.		
	Her original results were ER neg PR neg.	
	Upon refesting, her results were unchanged as ER	
0% and PR 0%.		
	This lady was refested.	
Her original results were ER neg PR neg.		
Upon refesting, her results were unchanged as E		
0% and PR 0%.		
She was diagnosed in the Her hormonal		
status at the time was ER 90%, PR >90%.		
She did not meet the criteria of retesting and		
therefore is not involved in this process		
	She was diagnosed in Eastern	
	Health was not reporting ER/PR at that time; all	
	hormonal receptor status testing and reporting	
	was being done at Mount Sinai, therefore she is	
	not involved in this process.	
She was diagnosed in		
	hormonal status at the time was ER 70%, PR 45%.	
	She did not meet the criteria of retesting and	
	therefore is not involved in this process I cannot locate any pathology results on this lady	
	indicating a diagnosis of breast caricer.	
If you have further information, please contact		
me.		
:	She was diagnosed in Exercise . Her hormonal	
	status at the time was ER 60-70%, PR 80-90%.	
	She did not meet the criteria of retesting and	
	therefore is not involved in this process	
	more to a not all out on at the brocks	

Name MCP#	Comment		
	She was diagnosed in		
	Her hormonal receptor status was performed		
	using biochemical assay, which was the method		
	used prior to the Introduction of		
	immunohistochemical testing. Her status at the		
	time was ER PR Negative.		
	The immunohistochemical testing method is the		
	subject of the ER/PR review and was introduced		
	in 1997.		
She did not meet the criteria of retesting and			
therefore is not involved in this process			
	This lady was retested. Her original results from ER		
	neg PR neg. Upon refesting, her results were		
	unchanged as ER 0% and PR 0%.		
Teletchea, Marie-	This lady was retested. Her original results from ER		
France	neg PR neg. Upon retesting, her ER was 80 and PR		
	15.		
	This case was paneled and the letter is attached.		
	She was diagnosed in		
was not reporting ER/PR at that time; all hor			
	receptor status testing and reporting was being		
	done at Mount Sinai, therefore she is not involved		
	in this process.		

Table 2Patients identified by Eastern Health not included in Table 1

Patients identified by Eastern Health not included in Table 1			
Name Comment			
This lady was retested. Her original results were ER			
neg PR neg. Upon refesting, her results were			
unchanged as ER 0% and PR 0%.			
This lady was retested. Her original results were ER			
neg PR neg. However this lady was diagnosed			
with DCIS, Mount Sinai does not test DCIS for			
ER/PR and therefore she was not retested			
This lady has passed away.			
She was originally ER neg PR neg. Upon retesting			
her results were ER 60% PR 15%			
These results have not been disclosed to her			
family			
This lady has passed away.			
She was originally ER neg PR neg. Upon retesting			
her results were ER 0 PR 0			
These results have not been disclosed to her			
family			

Eastern HEALTH

January 27, 2006

Dr. K. Laing The Dr. H. Bliss Murphy Cancer Centre Health Sciences Centre

Dear Dr. Laing:

RE:	11 1/1	Decemb	
DE.			

Health Record Number:

Ms. was diagnosed with breast cancer in the control of the estrogen and progesterone receptors showed negative staining for both. A repeat report from Mount Sinai Hospital has shown the tumour to be estrogen receptor positive at 80% and the progesterone receptor positive at 30%.

This patient was discussed at the Physician Review Panel on January 26, 2006. The recommendation of the panel is that this lady can now be considered for hormonal therapy to treat her metastatic disease.

We would ask that you communicate this information to your patient as soon as possible.

Yours sincerely,

Kára Laing, M.D., F.R.C.P. (C) Clinical Chief, Cancer Care Program

The Dr. H. Bliss Murphy Cancer Center

The Dr. H. bliss wurphy Cancer Center

CC:

Dr

Eastern HEALTH

October 27, 2005

Dr. Farrell, The Dr. H. Bliss Murphy Cancer Center

Dear Dr. Farrell:

RE: Marie-France/Teletchea

MCP #:

Ms. Teletchea was diagnosed with breast cancer on October 30, 2000. The original report of the estrogen and progesterone receptors showed negative staining for both. A repeat report from Mount Sinai Hospital has shown the tumour to be estrogen receptor positive at 80% and the progesterone receptor positive at 15%.

This patient was discussed at the Physician-Review Panel on October 27, 2005. The recommendation of the Panel is that this lady should be offered treatment with Tamoxifen.

If Tamoxifen is contraindicated <u>or</u> not tolerated, an Aromatise inhibitor may be used in post menopausal patients.

We would ask that you communicate this information to your patient as soon as possible.

If you wish, this patient may be referred to one of the Medical Oncologists at The Dr. H. Bliss Murphy Cancer Centre for further evaluation.

Yours sincerely,

Kara Laing, M.D., F.R.C.P. (C)

Director, Medical Oncology

The Dr. H. Bliss Murphy Cancer Center Assistant Professor, Faculty of Medicine Memorial University of Newfoundland

Cc Dr. Kwan Dr. Malluret