

Pam Elliott

From: Heather Predham
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2007 1:05 PM
To: Pat Pilgrim; Oscar Howell; Nancy Parsons
Cc: Nebojsa Denic; Terry Gulliver; Pam Elliott
Subject: Results
Importance: High

Hi,

Here's a summary of the results that are back

Overall 15 results are back

- 6 confirmed negative
- 8 had results that changed
- 1 must be results from Western, I'm not familiar with the name.

Broken down

Of the 11 new patients I called last week, **results are back on 8 :**

- 3 are confirmed negative
- 3 have results that changed and have seen a current oncologist at the Cancer Clinic
- 1 has changed and she has not been seen at the Cancer Clinic
- 1 has changed and she is deceased. She was not seen at the Cancer Clinic previously

Of the other results (6)

- 3 are confirmed negative. 2 of these the relatives have been calling Nancy
- [REDACTED] was N/N and is now 80/30. She is a patient of Dr. Laing. Her sister has been calling Nancy
- [REDACTED] was 30/<5. She is a patient of dr. Laing and called in to see if she was retested. She was considered negative at the time because of weak staining. She is now 75/15
- [REDACTED] called in the summer. She has been calling Nancy. She was 23-30/10 She is now 90/10. She saw Dr. Siddiqui in 2001

Our questions:

Will we contact the confirmed negatives today based on the Mount Sinai spreadsheet?

Will we contact the oncologists of those that have them based on this info or should we wait for the official pathology report to be typed and send that to them?

The one lady whose results changed and she has not been seen at the Cancer Clinic is the elderly lady with [REDACTED] Will I contact her physician or wait until the pathology report is back so it can be sent to him?

Heather