

**From:** [Janet Laidley](#)  
**To:** [Heather Predham; Nancy Parsons;](#)  
[Pat Pilgrim;](#)  
**cc:** [Janet Laidley;](#)  
**Subject:** RE: ER/PR Patient [REDACTED]  
**Date:** June-19-08 4:17:20 PM

---

Hi all.

I have just reviewed her OPIS chart here is what I Just found out. This is all from Carbonear pathology reports, my speaking with pathology at Carbonear this afternoon and CaCL notes

1. Biopsy Sept [REDACTED]/98 - infiltrating duct carcinoma
2. Partial mastectomy Oct [REDACTED]98 - margins were not clear
3. Simple mastectomy - Oct [REDACTED]/98
4. ER/PR at that time was ER Negative PR 20-25% positive.
5. Pty received Chemo then radiation and given that her OR was a positive she was started on Tamoxifen.
6. Between Nov 98-June had chemo red and started on tamoxifen in June /99.
7. In Nov [REDACTED]/01 pt was referred back to her GP for continued follow up and still on Tamoxifen.
8. In December of 2003 had a biopsy done of chest wall with diagnosis of recurrence infiltrating duct carcinoma.
9. Jan [REDACTED] 2004 Referred to CaCl at this time and she was seen by Joy McCarthy and in speaking with the patient she decided to request a repeat of ER/PR. Repeat was done on S1703-98 which showed ER positive 60-70% and PR positive 50-60%.- (dated 13/05/04)
10. At the December 2003 appointment tamoxifen was stopped and pt was to receive Chemo in Carbonear. Hormone receptor meds were ordered following chemo ( Femara)
- 11.

Dec [REDACTED] 2003 ER/PR report on S2046-03 shows ER negative PR negative and HER2 negative.

12. Pt had another recurrence in chest wall in November 2004 - local. Discussed at tumour board no further change in treatment needed.
13. On November [REDACTED] 2005 OPIS notes indicate that patient was asking about her ER/PR and DR. Zulfiquar could not find them on the computer. No results are available in PCI because they went back to Carbonear for reporting. It states that he would request repeat to those levels.
14. Recurrence in Jan 07 - mediastinal mass. Had chemo and medications changed around.
15. The Opis notes refer the results of ER/PR from the initial diagnosis and not of the retesting in 2004.
16. This patient is not in the data base and was not retested when retesting of ER negative patients was set up.

That is all I have for now. I did speak with pathology dept. at Carbonear to get clarification. That is all for now. Janet

Janet Laidley  
 Quality & Clinical Safety Leader  
 Regional Services  
 Quality and Risk Management  
 Eastern Health  
 Room 1217 Southcott Hall  
 100 Forest Road, St. John's NL A1A 1E5  
 E-mail: [Janet.Laidley@easternhealth.ca](mailto:Janet.Laidley@easternhealth.ca)  
 Telephone: 709-777-6561  
 Fax: 777-8033

---

**From:** Heather Predham  
**Sent:** Thu 6/19/2008 3:44 PM  
**To:** Nancy Parsons; Pat Pilgrim; Janet Laidley  
**Subject:** RE: ER/PR Patient [REDACTED]

There are a multitude of issues here ladies.....

-----Original Message-----

From: Nancy Parsons  
Sent: June 19, 2008 3:32 PM  
To: Heather Predham; Pat Pilgrim; Janet Laidley  
Subject: RE: ER/PR Patient [REDACTED]

The info I have is as follows: Carbonear report [REDACTED]/09/98 specimen S1703-98 (ER 60-70) (PR 50-60 ) "Repeat of ER-PR done 2004" is handwritten on the report.

And also, 98/11/[REDACTED] specimen S1918-98 ER neg PR 20-25

-----Original Message-----

From: Heather Predham  
Sent: June 19, 2008 3:20 PM  
To: Pat Pilgrim; Nancy Parsons; Janet Laidley  
Subject: RE: ER/PR Patient [REDACTED]

OK.....

Here is what I can tell...and Janet will add in her part.....

This lady was had her original pathology done in Carbonear in 1998. With an ER of 10% she should have been identified for retesting.

Throughout her OPIS chart, her ER/PR is referred to as ER 10 Pr 20-25

In August 2007, Dr. Zulfiqar documents that her values were retested and the PR came back higher. ("repeat testing shows good PR positivity") He does not give the actual numbers and he does not comment on ER. This must have been a retest conducted through Carbonear as the results are not in meditech. This melds with the timeline Nancy gave us earlier

Janet has more information, which gives additional twists, but at this point the answer to her question are that:

she should have been identified for retesting and was not picked up by Carbonear originally or by the NLCHI review.

We were not aware of her, that is why she was not contacted.

Heather

-----Original Message-----

From: Pat Pilgrim  
Sent: June 19, 2008 3:00 PM  
To: Nancy Parsons; Heather Predham; Janet Laidley

Subject: Re: ER/PR Patient [REDACTED]

So the answers to her questions are? P

----- Original Message -----

From: Nancy Parsons

To: Pat Pilgrim; Heather Predham; Janet Laidley

Sent: Thu Jun 19 14:00:38 2008

Subject: RE: ER/PR Patient [REDACTED]

Hi Pat, I heard from Mr. [REDACTED] (pt's husband) in May '07. He told me pt was then undergoing her 3rd round of chemo & requested a copy of pt's path reports. Since Mrs. [REDACTED] is from [REDACTED], our path lab asked me to call Dr Gary Baker. I did & Dr Baker suggested the results be sent to pt's G.P. this was done with a copy also to Dr. Siddiqui.

-----Original Message-----

From: Pat Pilgrim

Sent: June 19, 2008 1:54 PM

To: Heather Predham; Nancy Parsons; Janet Laidley

Subject: FW: ER/PR Patient [REDACTED]

Janet, Can you look up this lady. She needs to be called with answers to her questions. Heather/Nancy one of you may have already spoken to this patient.  
Pat

Patricia Pilgrim

Chief Operating Officer

Cancer Care, Quality and Risk Management

Eastern Health

c/o Room 1345, Level I, Health Sciences Centre

Prince Philip Drive

St. John's, NL A1B 3V6

Telephone - 709-777-1306

Fax - 709-777-1347

E-mail - Pat.Pilgrim@easternhealth.ca

-----Original Message-----

From: Joyce Penney

Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 1:38 PM

To: Pat Pilgrim; Louise Jones; Joyce Penney; Dianne Smith

Subject: ER/PR Patient [REDACTED]

Pat:

Attached is a letter from [REDACTED] (cancer survivor ER/PR) with pointed questions~

Please review and draft a response for consideration by Louise

Attached is a draft template for your convenience.

Joyce

---