

Pam Elliott

From: Heather Predham
Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2007 1:57 PM
To: Pam Elliott
Subject: FW: lists

*What's the issue's comment
→ on spreadsheet
file ER/PR*

From: Heather Predham
Sent: October 23, 2007 1:29 PM
To: Pat Pilgrim
Subject: lists

Hi,

I have printed out the original request sent from NLCHI and Terry's response and there are several things that require clarification, internally at the very least. Hopefully this is helpful.

I also had an epiphany of sorts.....all this time we have been talking about electronically recreating Terry's lists I never included Carbonear and Clarenville in my mind when doing an "Eastern Health" list.....did you? Obviously there are issues in Carbonear and maybe wayne should focus attention on that area first???

List #1

These are the patients that came back as no tumor and therefore could not be retested. NLCHI is asking if another block or specimen was sent for retesting.

- Terry's comments make it seem like other blocks were sent for retesting, but I cannot find any mention of this in my notes or in Meditech.
- Terry refers to RE#'s in his comments. Apparently (so Reza told me) that is the number we assigned to the specimen when we sent it to Mount Sinai. When Mount Sinai sent the results back they assigned them RS#'s. I only have the RS#'s so I can't check on what Terry notes there. **I just got off the phone with Don Cook...the specimen number Terry has listed is the HCCSJ specimen number given to the sample when it was stained. I DOES NOT mean another specimen was sent. ...it's only another number for the same specimen. Dr. Cook just told me that when one of these came back he would contact the Pathologist in the region and ask them to send up another block as a consult and to follow-up with us as necessary.....**

1. She was discussed at paneling and was confirmed DCIS. I'm not sure what Terry's comment means.
2. My records indicate "no tumor" from Mount Sinai. There is a report in Meditech but it is "entered" status and refers to a 2005 report. I have left a message for Don Cook. **There is nothing there, He thinks he was waiting for information from Western as above but musn't have gotten it.**
3. Her report in Meditech states there was insufficient tumor to retest. I'm not sure what happened after that but again Terry's comment makes it sound like another sample was sent
4. Again, Meditech states there was insufficient tumor to retest. My notes indicate that **Grand Falls was going to send another block to Mount Sinai** for retesting. I'm not sure what the comment means as I would take it that Grand Falls was following up.
5. The results are not recorded in Meditech. I'm not sure why if the others are
6. Even though she came back as "no tumor" from Mount Sinai, **she had been retested on Ventana during the investigation phase** of this, converted and was informed of this by her physician.
7. Meditech and my notes refer to her results being 0/0 from Mount Sinai (**confirmed negative**). I'm not sure where the "no tumor" results come from as I can't find that in the info from Mount Sinai
8. **This is the same person as #2.**, so I have to wait and check on what is in Meditech

List #2

Patients identified by other authorities as having being negative but were not retested

10/23/2007

Terry has noted:



The Carbonear ones that we have been discussing as well as others that is clearly positive and would not be included. (this makes up 34 out of the 60 patients listed)

- 22 from Western: 2 that meet the criteria of being positive; 19 that were not identified to us previously; and 1 saying it was "inadvertently performed" I do not know what that means but I would assume we didn't know the results unless Corner Brook told us
- 1 from Central that is positive
- 3 from Labrador: 1 that is positive, 1 were not aware of and 1 that was retested as a consult, that didn't make our list

Forgetting about Carbonear for the time being, that could mean 21 more that require retesting and notification

List #3

Patients identified as being from EH but not retested

- Of these 22 patients:
 - 3 were positive
 - 4 were DCIS (1 was actually pre-DCIS) and were never sent for retesting
 - 4 were retested and the results were already provided to NLCHI
 - 3 were deceased and have already been sent this summer to Mount Sinai with the others
 - **3 were the ones identified by Terry that we had missed**
 - **4 others were done by HCCSJ in 1997 but I'm not sure what would differentiate them from the 3 listed immediately above**

List #4

Patients who had more than one specimen originally tested, why was this sample not done as well

- Of these 4, I believe Terry is saying that Western would have to answer that as they sent in the samples
- **1 of these is from Carbonear but no comment is provided**

List #5

These are the deceased patients and although specimens have been sent away, why were these not sent as well.

- Terry has indicated that Nash has sent the best sample
- With #15, NLCHI was already provided with the results of this specimen which indicated it was retested and was confirmed negative
- **Again, there is a contact Carbonear comment here**