		CHRT Exhibit P-223	8	Page 1		
	Hellen Sparkes	•			-	κ.
	m: t: Subject:	KenJenkins@hcsw.nf.ca Friday, September 30, 2005 12:08 PM kelliobrien@hcsw.nf.ca; susangillam@ heidistaebensimmons@hcsw.nf.ca; k Re: ER/PR	@hcsw	v.nf.ca ∮healthwest.nf.ca		
	Sensitivity:	Confidential				
I heard about this for the first time at the Med Director's meeting yesterday. The indication that this would be hitting the media today. This will be a very set and complicated issue to communicate. Dr. Neil will need to be involved and I sur Heidi should contact him immediately. If there is any local reaction he will protothe best person to be involved. We may want to consider asking him to talk to out telephone when we're in Bonne Bay on Monday morning. Perhaps Heidi could co-ord Susan thinks it is a good idea. Ken						sensitive suggest that robably be
		kelliobrien@hcsw.nf.ca> hsangillam@hcsw.nf.ca> hJenkins@hcsw.nf.ca>	E.			
	Hi Susan Do you want me to fol have cc'd Ken, but re Many thanks Li	low up with the lab and Dr. 1 alize that he is off today. 1	Neil Do yc	so that respo ou wish me to	onse can be p contact Heid	prepared. I li as well?
	Original Message om: Susan Sullivan Sent: Friday, Septembe To: Obrien, Kelli Subject: FW: ER/PR Importance: High Sensitivity: Confident	[mailto:ssulli@healthwest.nf. er 30, 2005 11:27 AM	.ca]			
	going to contact the C I wanted you to be awa for our lab. such that Regards, Sue Confidentiality Clause The information contai	re - so that you can share i they can follow up. ned in this electronic messa It is intended only for the	t wit	th senior pers	son/people re	esponsible

+

be legally privileged. It is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this message is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify me by telephone (709) 637-5000 Ext. 5507 and delete the message. Thank you.

 Original Message----m: Heather Predham [mailto:Heather.Predham@hccsj.nl.ca]
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2005 3:13 PM
To: Susan Sullivan; jbudgell@cwhc.nl.ca





Subject: ER/PR

HI guys,

We have had an issue with our ER/PR testing....this has been the issue that we have en dealing with all summer. ER/PR is estrogen and progesterone receptors and if a person is breast cancer and is positive for these receptors, she gets tamoxifen as a treatment instead of Chemotherapy. She may end up on chemotherapy anyway, but it is felt that Tamoxifen may decrease the metastatic aspects of the breast cancer and has less awful side effects. (I say she but some of our affected patients are hes).

In 1997, a Dako semi-automated/manual system was installed for the Immunohistochemistry Service and replaced the bioassay method of testing for ER/PR receptors. This Dako system was replaced in 2004 by an automated Ventana system. In 2005, a patient, initially tested in 2002 with the Dako system and reported as ER/PR negative, was retested with the Ventana system and now indicated a strong positivity for estrogen and progesterone receptors. Four other patients initially tested as negative in 2002 were also retested, and all tested positive with the Ventana system.

We expanded our retesting to include all samples initially tested as negative in 2002 on the Dako system. Of the 57 retested on the Ventana system, 38 now showed positive results. This high conversion rate was unexpected and then placed the sensitivity of the Ventana System in question.

We have had external reviews done on our Ventana machine, on the pathology side of the service and the technical side. All those reports are pending, but we do have some recommendations that we can implement right now. Also we have stopped all testing and all requests for testing are being sent to Mt. Sinai. Mt. Sinai are also retesting all the blocks for these years. Results are starting to come in and it looks like we will have to contact up to 200 people to tell them that they were initially tested as negative, but were in fact positive.

Why am I telling you two all this? Well, since June, Dr. Cook our chief of pathology requested that your two boards send in your blocks to be retested in Mt. Sinai, to no il. I wanted to give you a heads up as we have to begin to inform people inidvidually yout this issue, but the Department of Health wants us to make a public statement. Since your labs have not responded yet to our request, you may be asked about the reasons why.....

What do you think?

Heather

The Information contained in this transmission and any attachments may contain privileged and confidential information

and may be legally privileged. It is intended only for the use of the person (s) named above. If you are not the intended

recipient, you are advised that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication is strictly prohibited.

Views or opinions expressed in this e-mail message are those of the author only.