

Case from Carbonar, Grand
Grand Falls. Corner Brook
St. Anthony

Dr.

RUN DATE: 29/10/05
RUN TIME: 0849
RUN USER: THOJU

LABORATORY NPR - HCC **LIVE**
FINAL PATHOLOGY REPORT - INTERNAL

PAGE 1

Batch #970327

ASSN TO: COOK, DONALD M. DR. SPEC#: C5:RE000005

ST. CLARE'S MERCY HOSPITAL
154 LEMARCHANT ROAD
ST. JOHN'S, NEWFOUNDLAND
CANADA
A1C 5B8

Age/Sex: [REDACTED]/F Attend Dr: [REDACTED] DR.
Status: REG REF Location: HLA CAR
Reg: 29/10/05 Disch: MCP #: [REDACTED]

Spec #: 05:RE5 Received: 29/10/05-0751 Status: DIAG Req#: C6583317
Spec Type: SURGICAL Subm Dr: [REDACTED] DR.

COMMENTS:
REPEAT ER/PR - BREAST

IMMUNOHISTOCHEMICAL INTERPRETATION

THIS SPECIMEN HAS BEEN RETESTED AT MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL FOR IMMUNOHISTOCHEMICAL STUDIES (CARBONEAR HOSPITAL SURGICAL NUMBER S-1900-98, BLOCK D) AND SHOWS:

ESTROGEN RECEPTOR PROTEIN IS POSITIVE IN 65% OF CELLS USING THE ANTIBODY 6F11 AND THE LSAB PROCEDURE.

PROGESTERONE RECEPTOR PROTEIN IS REPORTED AS POSITIVE IN LESS THAN 1% OF CELLS USING THE ANTIBODY PGR1294 AND THE LSAB PROCEDURE.

✓

* False positive

Chondrioid

	Dako ER	Dako PR	MSH ER	MSH PR	
SG-S847-00 [redacted] MP	1-5%	30-35%	0%	0%	Amel block 9, a lot of branching and cytoplasmic staining
[redacted]					
1-S758-98 [redacted] MP	5%	25%	0%	0%	Don't know if Tubulin Co. to MSH
SS-S209-97 HSC 9496-97 [redacted]	0%	10-20%	15%	0%	PR. around 50%-100% - 20% artifact, staining
PC S4-9562-98 [redacted] RS- [redacted]	25-30%	50%	0%	0%	heavy background staining
S4-15484-04 [redacted] PN	40	N19	5%	0%	
[redacted] RS- [redacted]					
SS-3644-04 Ventral B1(2T) [redacted]	0%	10%	0	<1%	
SS-3511-04 [redacted] (Damen Full)	<10	<60	0	0	
RS- [redacted]					
[redacted] (Cover Box)	<30	<40	10	5	
S4-2051-03 [redacted]					
RS- [redacted] (Damen)	30	40	0	0	
S4-1135- [redacted]					
RS- [redacted]					
SS-2651-01 Damen (Damen)	10	10	0	0	
RS- [redacted]					
SS-4156-99 (Damen)	5	40	0	0	
RS- [redacted]					
SS-688-98 (Damen)	Neg	50 to 60	0	2%	
RS- [redacted]					
SS-279-03 [redacted]	Neg	20-30	<1	5%	
RS- [redacted]					
SS-683-05 [redacted] (Damen)	Neg	20-25	0	0	
S-S758-98 MP [redacted]					D26

Message

CIHRT Exhibit P-2040

Page 4

Page 1 of 1

Donald Cook

From: Mullen, Dr. Brendan [BMullen@mtsinai.on.ca]
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2006 9:55 AM
To: Donald Cook
Subject: RE: RE RS [REDACTED]

Yes to the best of my recollection. The slides were sent to St. John's on January 30th so I am not able to recheck. Hope that helps.

Dr. Brendan Mullen
Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine
Mount Sinai Hospital
600 University Avenue
Toronto, ON, Canada M5G 1X5
t:416-586-4553 f:416-586-8589 e:bmullen@mtsinai.on.ca

-----Original Message-----

From: Donald Cook [mailto:Donald.Cook@hccsj.nl.ca]
Sent: February 20, 2006 7:54 AM
To: Mullen, Dr. Brendan
Subject: RE RS [REDACTED]

Brendan,
Our Tumor Board Committee reviewing the ER\PR cases wishes you to reconfirm that the ER result initially reported in Oct 2005 on [REDACTED] RS-[REDACTED] Block B as ER- 5% is revised to ER -65% on the same block. The committee wants to know if the second result is the one to go by.
Regards,
Don Cook

*I have the slides
ER +ve. Block B.*

2/20/2006

Message

Page 1 of 1

Donald Cook

From: Mullen, Dr. Brendan [BMullen@mtsina.on.ca]
Sent: Monday, January 23, 2006 10:53 AM
To: Donald Cook
Subject: RE: Case #RS- [REDACTED]

This was a restrain because of absent internal controls. The repeat was stronger so I reported the second stronger in the final spreadsheet.

Dr. Brendan Mullen
Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine
Mount Sinai Hospital
600 University Avenue
Toronto, ON, Canada M5G 1X5
t:416-586-4553 f:416-586-8589 e:bmullen@mtsina.on.ca

-----Original Message-----

From: Donald Cook [mailto:Donald.Cook@hccsj.nl.ca]
Sent: January 23, 2006 9:09 AM
To: BMullen@mtsina.on.ca
Subject: Case #RS- [REDACTED]

Hi Brendan,
Could you recheck # RS- [REDACTED] Block B, the spreadsheet I received in early October reported an ER of 5%, the spreadsheet received on Jan 20 reports an ER of 65% on the same Block.
Thanks,
Don Cook

1/26/2006